**City of Marine City**

**City Commission**

**November 17, 2022**

*\*Swearing in of Mayor Vandenbossche, Commissioner Bryson, Commissioner Hilferink, and Commissioner Roehrig took place before the beginning of the meeting.*

A regular meeting of the Marine City Commission was held on Thursday, November 17, 2022 at 260 South Parker Street, Marine City, and was called to order by Mayor Vandenbossche at 7:00 P.M.

Present: Mayor Jennifer Vandenbossche; Commissioners Jacob Bryson, Elizabeth Hendrick, Michael Hilferink, William Klaassen, Rita G. Roehrig, Brian Ross; City Manager Holly Tatman; City Clerk Shannon Adams.

Also Present: City Attorney Robert Davis

**AUDIO/VIDEO AVAILABLE**

For complete audio/video of meeting, visit the following link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NYZUqlODHSM

**APPROVE AGENDA**

Mayor Vandenbossche announced that the purpose of the monthly City Commission meeting was to conduct the business of the City per the published agenda.

Motion by Commissioner Hendrick, seconded by Commissioner Klaassen, to approve the Agenda. All Ayes. Motion Carried.

**PUBLIC COMMENT**

Phil Oleksiak congratulated the new Mayor and new Commissioners.

~~John Sapienza made comment related to a political mailer that had been sent to residents.~~ John Sapienza made comment related to a political mailer that had been sent to residents, some Marine City history, and suggested erecting a statute of Sam and Eber Ward.

Robert Harmon spoke about theft of personal items from a trailer on his property.

Joe Moran spoke about election related statistics and invited Mayor Vandenbossche to continue the tradition of the Mayor filling the City Commission seat on the Community and Economic Development Board.

Rudy Menchaca congratulated the Mayor and new Commissioners and requested public comment to be responsive.

Cheryl Vercammen stated residents should not be fearful of retaliation when making public comment, that the comments should be Agenda based, and spoke positively of the Spark Grants on the Agenda.

Dave Vandenbossche spoke of his wife, the new Mayor’s, diverse experience and congratulated the new Commissioners and Jacob Bryson on his reelection.

Cheryl Ross spoke of the Wilson Foundation Grant the City had applied for and her disappointment in the amount of opposition to it from Commissioners.

**APPROVE MINUTES**

Motion by Commissioner Bryson, seconded by Commissioner Klaassen, to approve the City Commission Meeting minutes of October 20, 2022. All Ayes. Motion Carried.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

Presented:

1. MCAFA Run Report
2. Departmental Activities Reports
3. 300 Broadway Committee Meeting Minutes – September 26, 2022
4. Planning Commission Meeting Minutes – October 10, 2022
5. Community and Economic Development Board Meeting Minutes – September 28, 2022
6. Historical Commission Meeting Minutes – July 19, 2022
7. Tax Increment Finance Authority Meeting Minutes – July 19, 2022
8. Pension Board Meeting Minutes – July 26, 2022
9. Retiree Health Care Meeting Minutes – July 26, 2022

Motion by Commissioner Ross, seconded by Commissioner Klaassen, to approve the Consent Agenda. **Roll Call Vote.** Ayes: Bryson, Hendrick, Hilferink, Klaassen, Roehrig, Ross, Vandenbossche. Nays: None. Motion Carried.

**FINANCIAL BUSINESS**

Expenditures

Commissioner Ross inquired about a cost related to filter bed painting. DPW Superintendent Mike Itrich indicated it was for one bed and he had reserves left to do a second. Commissioner Ross also inquired about the new lift truck. DPW Superintendent Itrich replied the new lift truck was being utilized across the departments and was working out well.

Commissioner Hilferink inquired about the invoices for UHY’s services. City Manager Tatman replied they had been included in previous agenda packets when they were received timely. Commissioner Hilferink stated he had been unable to locate them in the packets monthly. City Clerk Adams stated if they had been provided to her, they were scanned and included in the packets under the Consent Agenda and agreed to post all of the invoices received under Public Notices.

*\*Post Meeting – City Clerk Adams verified the UHY invoices had been included in the City Commission packets located on the City’s website, though not necessarily in consecutive months. Two invoices had been provided directly to the Commissioners due to timing of receipt from UHY and have been subsequently added as supplements to the appropriate agenda on the City’s website. .*

Motion by Commissioner Bryson, seconded by Commissioner Ross, to approve total expenditures including payroll, in the amount of $378,172.31. **Roll Call Vote.** Ayes: Bryson, Hendrick, Hilferink, Klaassen, Roehrig, Ross, Vandenbossche. Nays: None. Motion Carried.

Preliminary Financial Statements

Commissioner Hendrick inquired about a deficit showing in the General Fund on the Expenses and Revenues report. City Manager Tatman stated that the numbers were rolling numbers and at the time the City had been in the midst of the audit and tax collection so she was not able to speak to what was happening on the particular day the report was run. She further stated she would be working with the Treasurer as the audit was completed to prepare budget amendments for December’s meeting.

Commissioner Ross inquired about the court fines line item which City Manager Tatman explained was revenue and City Attorney Davis further explained that the City received funds back from the Court. Commissioner Ross also inquired about the OPEB for the Retiree Health Care line item. City Manager Tatman replied she would be working with Nyhart and McBride on the accuracy of the numbers.

Motion by Commissioner Bryson, seconded by Commissioner Klaassen, to receive and file the Preliminary Financial Statements. All Ayes. Motion Carried.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

172 Bruce Street Update & Attorney Memo

City Attorney Davis indicated 172 Bruce had been referred to him for legal action, but that he had paused and conducted some research related to the Dangerous Building Board of Appeals and their function. He indicated that the memo he had provided laid out a series of steps for the Dangerous Building Board of Appeals to take and that administrative options needed to be fully exhausted before the matter could be taken to Court.

Motion by Commissioner Bryson, seconded by Commissioner Ross, to receive and file the Attorney Memo related to an update on 172 Bruce Street and the Dangerous Building Board of Appeals process. All Ayes. Motion Carried.

Motion by Commissioner Ross, seconded by Commissioner Hendrick, to return 172 Bruce Street to the Dangerous Building Board of Appeals with direction to follow the procedures laid out in the Attorney Memo on pages 5 and 6. **Roll Call Vote.** Ayes: Bryson, Hendrick, Hilferink, Klaassen, Roehrig, Ross, Vandenbossche. Nays: None. Motion Carried.

Appeal RE: Denial of Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Request

City Attorney Davis stated that if a person believed they were aggrieved by a FOIA decision, they had a right to seek an appeal to the public body to make their case and then it was up to the public body to make a decision on the appeal.

Appellant Phil Oleksiak explained his case stating that he had requested the personnel file of an election worker that had been dismissed by City Clerk Adams, he had received documents related thereto, including a letter to the election worker and a memo to the Commission from City Clerk Adams. He referenced statements in the letter and memo referencing social media/Facebook posts that had been made by the election worker prior to their dismissal. He stated he submitted another FOIA request for copies of those posts. City Clerk Adams denied his FOIA on the basis that no public record existed. Appellant Oleksiak stated his opinion that the social media/Facebook posts were used in the dismissal of the election worker and constituted a public record, therefore he appealed the denial.

City Clerk Adams stated that she was not at liberty to discuss what was and was not used in her determination to dismiss the election worker as that would constitute a discussion of a performance review of the employee which was not permitted. She stated that the social media/Facebook posts in question had been shown to her by a third party on their own cellular device, that she did not request this person to take and provide her screenshots, and that the City was not in possession of any such screenshots.

Appellant Phil Oleksiak responded that it was his belief the record still was required to be retained as, in his assumption, it was used in disciplinary action against the dismissed election worker; that the Clerk should have located the social media/Facebook postings, printed them, and retained them in the file.

City Clerk Adams stated that she was not at liberty to discuss what was and was not used in her determination to dismiss the election worker and that, per her understanding of the FOIA process, she was not required to create a public record where one did not already exist.

Commissioner Roehrig inquired if any due diligence was done to verify the postings on Facebook. City Clerk Adams stated they were shown to her by a third party on their Facebook app. She further reminded the Commission she could not speak to what was used in the dismissal of the election worker because that would be a performance review of the individual and was not permitted, that the postings were referenced in her letter to the Commission as an awareness of the postings, not that they were used. Commissioner Roehrig stated to the Clerk that the postings should have been verified. City Clerk Adams replied she verified them to the best of her ability but did not subpoena Facebook to make verification of the postings.

Mayor Vandenbossche inquired regarding the issues at hand, that one was that the City was not in possession of the screenshots and the second was a personnel issue in that the screenshots should have been retained. City Attorney Davis indicated the matter before the Commission was strictly the appeal and defined what a public record was and identified the creation element as the time boundary for what was and was not a public record and it must be retained and in the possession. He identified that related to the appeal, if the document wasn’t retained as a public record, then it can’t be disclosed. The second issue, related to the procedure followed, would have to be handled in a separate setting.

Commissioner Hilferink inquired about the definition of the public record, identifying that it could merely be something that was used and the City Attorney called out what made something a public record and clarified that just because it was looked at in the course of business for consultation does not necessarily mean it was used. Commissioner Hilferink asked about the use of the Facebook posts by the City Clerk in the dismissal of the election worker. City Clerk Adams again stated she was not at liberty to discuss whether Facebook posts were used in the dismissal of the Election Worker because it would then become a performance review and that the Commission was placing her in a situation where she felt they were asking her questions which would lead to that.

Commissioner Hendrick inquired if City Clerk Adams looked up Facebook posts for all election workers. City Clerk Adams reported that she did not and the posts referenced were shown to her by someone else. Commissioner Hendrick referenced her reliance on posts in the instant circumstances but that she did not look at other election worker Facebook posts. City Clerk Adams responded that no postings by other workers had been brought to her attention. Commissioner Hendrick inquired as an election official if it was her responsibility to make sure all workers were not engaged in such. City Attorney Davis informed Commissioner Hendrick she was broaching on the Clerk’s performance which was required to be done in another setting; that the focus was on the Appeal. City Attorney Davis indicated the question was why Mr. Oleksiak did not receive the document requested, that the response was it was not downloaded and created as a public record, thereby the request was denied.

Commissioner Hendrick stated it was easy to go on Facebook and search someone’s name. City Clerk Adams inquired if the Commissioner was suggesting she spend City time on Facebook. Commissioner Hendrick continued to allege that City Clerk Adams used the Facebook posts for dismissal of the election worker. City Clerk Adams reminded the Commissioner, again, that she was drawing conclusions, that she could not discuss what was used related to the election worker’s dismissal.

Commissioner Hilferink indicated a concern with future FOIA issues and avoiding them. Mayor Vandenbossche pointed out that one of the keys was a writing that was prepared and the City never had that writing. City Attorney Davis agreed that the City never prepared a writing, owned it, possessed it, or retained, that there could be something adopted in the future that if it was used in an official function, it needed to be retained and added to the file.

Commissioner Hendrick indicated a need to consult with Human Resources before dismissing an election worker in the future. City Attorney Davis referenced his memo related to the Clerk’s authority over election workers. City Clerk Adams indicated the memo was available on the City Clerk’s page on the City’s website.

Commissioner Ross inquired if there were other documents that had been withheld. City Clerk Adams verified only the Facebook posts were at issue, which were never retained.

Motion by Commissioner Bryson, seconded by Commissioner Ross, to deny the appeal related to Mr. Oleksiak’s FOIA request due to the record not existing in the possession of the City. **Roll Call Vote.** Ayes: Bryson, Hendrick, Hilferink, Klaassen, Roehrig, Ross, Vandenbossche. Nays: None. Motion Carried. \*Commissioner Hilferink qualified his vote that in the future, records used needed to be retained.

**NEW BUSINESS**

Appointment of Mayor Pro Tem

Motion by Mayor Vandenbossche, seconded by Commissioner Ross, to approve the appointment of Commissioner Hendrick as Mayor Pro Tem . All Ayes. Motion Carried.

Appointment of City Commission Representative to the Community & Economic Development Board

Motion by Mayor Vandenbossche, seconded by Commissioner Hendrick, to approve the appointment of Commissioner Hilferink as the City Commission representative to the Community and Economic Development Board effective November 30, 2022. All Ayes. Motion Carried.

Appointment of City Commission Representative to the Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA)

Mayor Vandenbossche indicated she was aware Commissioners Bryson and Roehrig were interested in the ZBA seat. City Manager Tatman explained that the Board was very fact driven and having a background could be very helpful due to the nature of the Board’s responsibilities. Commissioner Hendrick inquired of the City Attorney if he still taught his training class. City Attorney Davis stated he would schedule a training class. He additionally stated that the ZBA was important in that it was judicial and the last line of defense for property owners who want a zoning variance, were seeking interpretation of a zoning ordinance, or wanted to challenge the decision of a Building Official. He stated the record made by the Zoning Board goes to the Court in total, therefore it was vital the records were made and motions were supported properly and decisions to deny variances were sustained. He identified that it was a complex legal process to ensure the board functioned well and broke down a variance request by an individual as a request to violate an Ordinance approved by the Planning Commission and passed by the City Commission.

Commissioner Bryson stated that prior to being a City Commissioner, he served on the Planning Commission for approximately 1.5 years and had experience with how the Planning Commission worked and how the ZBA interacted with it.

Commissioner Hendrick stated experience was not necessary for any Board. Commissioner Roehrig stated she was a fast learner, was able to read, and felt she would be good for the Board.

Motion by Commissioner Hilferink, seconded by Commissioner Klaassen, to approve the appointment of Commissioner Roehrig as the City Commission representative to the Zoning Board of Appeals effective December 7, 2022. Ayes: Hendrick, Hilferink, Klaassen, Roehrig, Ross, Vandenbossche. Nays: Bryson. Motion Carried.

Resolution 018-2022: Tot Lot Revitalization Spark Grant

City Manager Tatman explained that the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Spark Grant was a no matching dollars grant that was geared towards parks and recreation; that there were three phases and if the City’s application was in before the first phase, if they were not chosen in that round, the application would automatically roll forward for the remaining phases. She indicated there had been many discussions in the City Offices about park revitalization and that the playground equipment at the Tot Lot was approximately 23 years old and residents had complained about the lack of restroom facilities. She also indicated that the project required no engineering and included a replacement of existing, outdated equipment and the installation of a pre-fabricated bathroom. She further identified that the numbers included on the spreadsheet were not yet finalized or accurate. The only question before the Commission tonight was whether they had a desire to move forward with this project or not, as the City staff was not inclined to waste time on projects not supported by the Commission.

Mayor Vandenbossche identified that a public hearing was required and inquired about the timeline. City Manager Tatman indicated the public hearing could take place at the December meeting.

Commissioner Klaassen asked if the bathroom would be a porta john and mentioned recent bathroom vandalism in the City. City Manager Tatman replied she would not approve of a porta john in a residential area and that the City could not deny restroom facilities based on actions of the few.

Commissioner Hendrick stated her opinion that not enough information was included. Commissioner Roehrig inquired about photos. Commissioner Hilferink indicated the Commission needed to be included in the process. City Manager Tatman explained that the process began with the approval of the Commission to move forward, then a presentation would be put together. She alluded to several staff members who were discouraged by how hard of a fight it was for the Commission to approve asking for free money and were not inclined to continue wasting time on presentations if the Commission was resistant to going out for these types of grants.

Commissioner Ross inquired about posting the grant application packets separately so the Public has time to review them and could find them easier. City Clerk Adams indicated they could be posted separately under the Public Notices section of the City’s website.

City Manager Tatman clarified that there would not be a lot of room for modifications as the nature of the revitalization was replacing items on existing footprints.

Commissioner Hilferink inquired about the DNR process in that the City could have three applications in at once. City Manager Tatman explained the two on the Agenda were the ones that could be turned around the quickest and that the City Staff would be working on another for Phase 3 that would take more time, but with the vision of turning the park on King Road into a destination.

Commissioner Hendrick insisted there be a notation in the minutes that at the December meeting the application would be brought before the Commission for approval and a public hearing would be held related thereto in accordance with the Spark Grant requirements.

Motion by Commissioner Bryson, seconded by Commissioner Ross, to approve and adopt Resolution 018-2022 regarding the Spark Grant for the Tot Lot revitalization. **Roll Call Vote.** Ayes: Bryson, Hendrick, Hilferink, Klaassen, Roehrig, Ross, Vandenbossche. Nays: None. Motion Carried.

Resolution 019-2022: Beach Improvement Spark Grant

Commissioner Hilferink indicated changes that needed to be made at the beach. City Manager Tatman replied that there would not be a lot of time or room for changes that the improvements were focused on “as needed” things; it wasn’t a fresh overhaul on the beach.

Commissioner Roehrig inquired about several line items listed on the attached spreadsheet including demolition of the existing bath house, cost of waste receptacles, picnic tables, wood fencing, storage shed, and sidewalks. City Manager Tatman reiterated in response to every line item questioned that the spreadsheet was not completed and that a revised version would come before the Commission with the application at the December meeting. City Attorney Davis also indicated that the figures in the spreadsheet were not correct at the time of the meeting. Commissioner Roehrig inquired about the location of the wood fencing. City Manager Tatman indicated that would be included in the plan brought to the Commission in December. Commissioner Roehrig asked about what the storage shed would be used for. City Manager Tatman replied it was for the beach toys, lifeguard equipment, DPW equipment. Commissioner Roehrig inquired about attaching it to the beach house instead of a separate structure. City Manager Tatman stated she would converse with the DPW on the matter. Commissioner Roehrig asked why new sidewalks were needed and City Manager Tatman explained that there may need to be new sections or sections replaced and concrete prices changed daily. She reminded the Commissioner that the packet was not complete, that the purpose of the Agenda item was approval to move forward.

Commissioner Klaassen inquired about the line item on the beach spreadsheet being $0 for the grant writer while on the prior agenda item there was $1,500.00. City Manager Tatman indicated the grant writer would get paid, but the spreadsheet before them was incomplete.

Commissioner Hilferink inquired if City Manager Tatman had any idea how much funds would be awarded to the City from the DNR. She responded there was no way to know as it depended on how many communities applied, what they applied for, what kind of projects they included in their application, and the DNR’s own criteria for awards.

Motion by Commissioner Ross, seconded by Commissioner Bryson, to approve and adopt Resolution 019-2022 regarding the Spark Grant for the public beach improvement. **Roll Call Vote.** Ayes: Bryson, Hendrick, Hilferink, Klaassen, Roehrig, Ross, Vandenbossche. Nays: None. Motion Carried.

Resolution 020-2022: Open Guy Center Fund (Restricted) Account – Fifth Third Bank

City Manager Tatman explained that the Resolution was a formality for the bank and auditors as the City staff were not permitted to open bank accounts without it and with the sale of 303 S. Water St. property completed, the bank account was needed for the funds to be allocated to a Guy Center.

Motion by Commissioner Ross, seconded by Commissioner Bryson, to approve and adopt Resolution 020-2022 to open a restricted account with Fifth Third Bank for the Guy Center Funds. **Roll Call Vote.** Ayes: Bryson, Hendrick, Hilferink, Klaassen, Roehrig, Ross, Vandenbossche. Nays: None. Motion Carried.

303 S. Water Sale Proceeds Allocation Discussion

City Attorney Davis stated on July 20, 2021 there was a detailed Memorandum produced by himself with a presentation that was fact and research driven. The 303 S. Water property had been sold and therefore raised the issues related to sale proceed allocation. He stated he had laid out three options in the memo. He reiterated that the property had been purchased entirely with general fund money, the 2 properties left by the Guy family were then sold and those funds were used to reimburse the general fund, and the majority of that which had been used to purchase 303 S. Water. He stated it was his opinion the general fund needed to be made whole, capital improvements made from City funds needed to be considered, and the donation directives of the Guy Will needed to be honored.

Motion by Commissioner Hendrick, seconded by Commissioner Ross, to receive and file the Attorney Memo related to the 303 S. Water proceeds and to place the matter on the December 2022 agenda. All Ayes. Motion Carried.

**ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA**

None.

**CITY MANAGER’S REPORT**

City Manager Tatman stated the City employees were listening to the public and that was why they had been working on viable options to bring to the Commission to vote on which included park and beach improvements. She also spoke of the King Road park concept which would be a destination park and include a Splash Pad, Skate Park, a walking path, revamped baseball fields, accessory building, new playground equipment, and repairs to the sledding hill, and parking lots. She also indicated an interest in continuing to work on the acquisition of a piece of property from East China School District on the north end of the City for a future park.

City Manager Tatman mentioned the positive feedback the City had received on the business inspections that Fire Chief Slankster had been working on.

City Manager Tatman spoke of attending a SCCTTS meeting, which was related to road funding opportunities, and stated that the funding available at that time was for roads eligible for federal aid, which the City did not have many and those it did have were not eligible as they were the last ones to have been done. She stated she would be working with those at the County level who could help identify how more of Marine City roads could be designated as federal aid fund roads, thereby making funding accessible, though a pitch to do so would be a year out.

City Manager Tatman reiterated that the City was going to the table and seeking out road funding opportunities, that at the time the dollars were not available or they were not accessible to the City based on approval criteria. She reminded the Commission the marina acquisition would be taking place by the end of year with an expected springtime opening of the City’s marina.

Commissioner Hendrick mentioned the 26-mile corridor presentation that had recently taken place. She inquired if the City Manager would be providing them with information related thereto. City Manager Tatman responded when it was available she would, but at the time everything was conceptual.

Commissioner Hendrick requested involvement in the marina site plans as well as those plans for the destination park as well as putting the information out in the public. City Manager Tatman reminded the Commission that everything would come through them for approval, that the ideas were being put together.

Commissioner Hendrick mentioned the Sidewalk Inspection letter and her concerns related to the reimbursement plan and requested it to be brought before the Commission to discuss when budget discussions were occurring. City Manager Tatman stated the idea behind the flyer that went out to residents was to make them aware that it would be forthcoming in the next year and so they could plan ahead for it.

Commissioner Hilferink inquired if City Manager Tatman had reached out to East China School District (ECSD) related to the property that was the subject of a potential acquisition by the City. City Manager Tatman stated she had every month since February; that she had, within the last two weeks, had a conversation with the Superintendent who responded that there was an interest in the idea. City Manager Tatman stated before putting in the preparation work, she wanted to know if ECSD was interested.

Commissioner Hilferink mentioned to help detour property vandalism to put up a sign that the property was being monitored. City Manager Tatman indicated that had been done at the beach and it had made a difference.

Commissioner Hilferink inquired about UHY as related to the auditor’s most recent report and if she could provide more detail. City Manager Tatman was reluctant to go into further detail other than stating there was a concern with the cost and quality of what was received and she had preliminary conversations with the City Attorney related to reimbursement or repercussions. Commissioner Hilferink inquired as to the City Manager’s confidence moving forward related to reconciling the accounts and confidence in the Treasurer. City Manager Tatman stated she had full confidence in the Treasurer; that the auditors did as well. Commissioner Hilferink stated his opinion that 60 training hours were not sufficient for someone without municipal experience. City Manager Tatman stated that there was pressure to get UHY out and to not continue paying a two people to do one person’s job, and the Treasurer felt confident in the exit date of the end of September for UHY.

Commissioner Roehrig inquired about a getting a copy of the signed agreement with UHY. City Manager Tatman stated she would provide that.

**COMMISSIONER PRIVILEGE/LIAISON REPORTS**

Commissioner Klaassen stated for his Liaison Report that the Planning Commission had met and referenced two large projects that were in the works: Schneider Performance at Chartier and Parker, and an apartment complex off the south end of Parker Street.

Commissioner Hilferink thanked those who voted for him and spoke of his optimism for the future of Marine City. He also mentioned the combative nature of the meetings and referenced residents having watch parties, which he felt was unfortunate. He stated a need for professionalism to be restored.

Commissioner Ross congratulated the Marine City Mariners football team on a great season. He gave a shout out to Murphy’s Inn in Saint Clair where there was a fundraiser for the Old Newsboys. He mentioned the Santa Parade on November 22, 2022 and that he and Paul Dingeman would be providing color commentary on Channel 6 during the parade. He announced Merrytime Christmas on December 3, 2022. Commissioner Ross indicated the amount of positive feedback he had received related to the bike path project from cross country coaches and residents. Commissioner Ross stated that as related to the Safe Routes to School topic that had been brought up during several meetings, he had dug into the issues and the result was that the jurisdiction of the Saint Clair County MDOT branch was reassigned, then MDOT concluded their testing on the cross-walk lights, which failed, therefore they disabled them. He stated that MDOT had informed him they were working to remedy the issue related to the cross-walk lights and to replace them with a better option, once they were approved.

Commissioner Hendrick referenced a conversation related to the Safe Routes to schools cross-walk lights with MDOT and also stated the sign near the Lion’s Club may have been knocked over by the garbage truck and needed a new base. She also spoke of the Old Newsboys Paper Sale December 2, and 3, 2022 and to watch out for them as they would be in the street. She thanked the community for being supportive.

Commissioner Roehrig stated she was grateful to those who voted for her and that she would do her best to work for everyone in the City whether they voted for her or not. She stated she intended to work for transparency and planned to watch the City’s budget. She also stated her desire that all City Ordinances and procedures be followed by the Commission and City Manager. Commissioner Roehrig indicated all citizens should feel able to come before the Commission and state their issues and looked forward to making Marine City a better place for everyone.

Mayor Vandenbossche thanked everyone for their support of her and the Commissioners and felt there was a common goal for better government and to keep Marine City moving forward.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Motion by Commissioner Hendrick, seconded by Commissioner Klaassen, to adjourn at 9:42 P.M. All Ayes**.** Motion Carried.

Respectfully submitted,

Shannon M. Adams City Clerk